

EXHIBIT 3

Defendant.

/

PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION - VOLUME XIX

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JENNIFER MANLEY, DISTRICT JUDGE

Flint, Michigan, Monday, October 29, 2018

APPEARANCES:

For the People:

TODD FLOOD (P-59225)
GARY REEVES (P-35902)
ALEXANDRA EDELEN (P-80971)
DONALD SHEFF, II (P-78272)
401 N. Main Street
Royal Oak, MI 48067
(248) 547-1032

For the Defendant Busch:

MARK KRIGER (P-30298)
N. C. DEDAY LARENE (P-16420)
645 Griswold Street, Ste. 1717
Detroit, MI 48226
(313) 967-0100

For the Defendant Prysby:

RICHARD HILLMAN (P-40965)
CHRIS BERGSTROM (P-27458)
313 S. Washington Square
Lansing, MI 48933
(517) 371-8100

For the Defendant
Shekter-Smith:

BRIAN MORLEY (P-58346)
MARK BUSH (P-35775)
124 W. Allegan Street, Ste. 1000
Lansing, MI 48933
(517) 482-5800

For the Defendant Cook:

JOHN SMIETANKA (P-20610)
ANNE BUCKLEITNER (P-59832)
4250 Chicago Dr. SW, Ste. B
Grandville, MI 49418
(616) 667-2217

Recorded By:

Katrina Jennings, CEO8209
Certified Electronic Operator
(810) 257-3170

Transcribed By:

Lynn Beldin, CER5710
Certified Electronic Recorder
(810) 743-5600

1 Okay. All right.

2 BY MR. FLOOD:

3 Q So my question is did LAN, Lockwood, so we're all awake here,
4 did they recommend, if you know, to the M-D-E-Q, who is
5 telling you what must be done, did they recommend using lime
6 soda ash, a full soda ash system?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Was it a full lime soda ash system when you turned it on in
9 April of 2014?

10 A No.

11 Q Did LAN recommend to you and the M-D-E-Q, Mr. Busch and Mr.
12 Prysby, that they use some sort of inhibitor for corrosion
13 control?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Was that an orthophosphate?

16 A I believe so, yes.

17 Q Did you, when you turned the plant on, follow the
18 recommendation or did the M-D-E-Q, I'm sorry, strike that,
19 poorly, poorly stated. Did the M-D-E-Q, Mr. Busch or Mr.
20 Prysby, um, mandate that you use orthophosphate or an
21 inhibitor for, uh, corrosion control?

22 A They did not.

23 Q I'm gonna go to number six, provide assistance and training,
24 slash support, to the Flint water plant staff on implementing
25 the test run protocol, do you see that?

1 THE COURT: Yes, you may continue.

2 MR. FLOOD: Thank you.

3 BY MR. FLOOD:

4 Q So the contract, contractor worked with you and the
5 Department of Environmental Quality, yes?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Who had the final decision, who made the final decisions on
8 the protocol of running and updating the plant?

9 A The D-E-Q told us what we have to do for the test run.

10 Q So it's the Department of Environmental Quality, and would
11 that be Mr. Busch or Mr. Prysby?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Whether--

14 A Both.

15 Q Or not you did these certain things that Mr. Larene was
16 walking through, he was just walking through one, see this up
17 here, one, meet with Flint water treatment staff, right?
18 Down here, develop plant test run protocol, for submission to
19 the M-D-E-Q--

20 Q Yes.

21 A Correct?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Now, the M-D-E-Q, they were in essen--they were managing you?
24 MR. SMIETANKA: Your Honor, I'm gonna object, it's
25 lead.

1 MR. FLOOD: Okay.

2 MR. SMIETANKA: He's been leading--

3 THE COURT: Objection sustained.

4 MR. SMIETANKA: This has been a lot of leading--

5 THE COURT: Okay.

6 MR. FLOOD: A lot--

7 MR. SMIETANKA: Now, I--

8 MR. FLOOD: Of it.

9 MR. SMIETANKA: Must start to object--

10 THE COURT: Okay. The--

11 MR. SMIETANKA: Every time.

12 THE COURT: Okay. Objection sustained to leading.

13 Please rephrase. That was leading.

14 MR. FLOOD: I just wanna say something but I'm
15 holding myself back.

16 THE COURT: All right.

17 MR. FLOOD: Just give me--

18 THE COURT: Ask your--

19 MR. FLOOD: A second.

20 THE COURT: Question.

21 MR. LARENE: Always a good practice, Mr. Flood.

22 MR. FLOOD: No, it's--

23 BY MR. FLOOD:

24 Q So, uh, as it relates to these four, five, and six, do you
25 see those?

1 A Yes.

2 Q Who, who would ultimately get the final say so on whether or
3 not you did those things?

4 MR. LARENE: I, I, I'm going to object to the--

5 MR. FLOOD: Now--

6 MR. LARENE: Form of the question. If this is a
7 proposal for a contract--

8 MR. FLOOD: Mmm-mmm.

9 MR. LARENE: Uh--

10 MR. FLOOD: It's--

11 MR. LARENE: I mean, the--

12 MR. FLOOD: (inaudible)

13 MR. LARENE: Did those things, who gets the final
14 say so on whether L-A-N would develop plant test--

15 MR. FLOOD: So I--

16 MR. LARENE: Run protocols--

17 MR. FLOOD: I'll clear it up.

18 MR. LARENE: (inaudible)

19 MR. FLOOD: Let me withdraw.

20 THE COURT: All right.

21 MR. FLOOD: I'll just clear it up.

22 BY MR. FLOOD:

23 Q The, the--who had to approve the operation of the plant?

24 A The D-E-Q.

25 Q What was the purpose of LAN with regards to the contract and

1 the D-E-Q? Tell the Judge how the process works?

2 A The L-A-N would design and oversee the management or the
3 construction of the systems and processes the D-E-Q said we
4 had to have.

5 Q Okay. So the D-E-Q, would that be Mr. Busch and Mr. Prysby
6 would say certain things you had to have?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And LAN would oversee it?

9 A They would des--do the design work behind that.

10 MR. SMIETANKA: Your Honor--

11 MR. FLOOD: Okay.

12 MR. SMIETANKA: Again--

13 MR. FLOOD: I, I--

14 MR. SMIETANKA: Objection, leading.

15 MR. FLOOD: Oh, well, who would--

16 THE COURT: Objection--

17 MR. FLOOD: Oversee it?

18 THE COURT: Sustained.

19 BY MR. FLOOD:

20 Q Who would oversee it? Let me take some time here, who would
21 oversee it?

22 A (No audible response)

23 Q D-E-Q or LAN?

24 A D-E-Q was the regulatory agency who would say what we had to
25 do. L-A-N would design those systems to make it do that.